

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/721,104 | 11/26/2003 | Franco Moia | 08130.0065-01000 | 6202 |
| 22852 | 7590 02/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER | | | SHAFER, RICKY D | |
| LLP 901 NEW YO | RK AVENUE, NW | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ON, DC 20001-4413 | | 2872 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/03/200 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | M | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/721,104 | MOIA, FRANCO | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Ricky D. Shafer | 2872 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will appty and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AE | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 | <u>11/26/2003</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) | This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice und | ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applica | tion. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | ndrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and | i/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | - ,, | · · | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | · · | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th | e Examiner, Note the attached | JOHICE ACTION OF TOMM F 10-132. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. | nents have been received. | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | priority documents have been | received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bu | , | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | ı list of the certified copies not | received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date | <i>'</i> | s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 2-8, 13 and 14, drawn to an optical component comprising at least one retarder having embedded therein a plurality of images, with separate retarder/images details (i.e., a plurality of retarders each independently having the same or different retardation values, a plurality of images having specific image patterns each having a different optical axis from the other specific image patterns, the images are contain in alternate areas or the images are contain in successive parallel stripes).

Group II, claim(s) 9-12, drawn to a viewing system comprising at least one retarder having embedded therein a plurality of images and a rotatable polarizer (analyzer) with or without a reflector.

Claim 1 will be examined along with any one of the elected Groups I and II.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: it appears any special technical features of the above mentioned inventions relate to the separate features of the particular inventions, absent an allowable linking claim to the above mentioned inventions.

Groups II and I are related as combination and subcombination, respectively, and lack unity due to the fact that the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the

subcombination because of the omission of the separate retarder/images details (see above explanation). Therefore, it appears that if any special technical feature is present it must reside in the separate features of the subcombination.

3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- A). The respective images are contained in alternate areas; and
- B). The respective images are contained in successive areas.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: 4.

Claim 4 corresponds to species "A".

Claim 5 corresponds to species "B".

Application/Control Number: 10/721,104 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

5. The following claim(s) are generic: at least claim 1 is generic.

6. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: it appears any special technical features of the above mentioned species relate to the separate features of the particular species, absent an allowable

<u>linking claim</u> to the above mentioned species.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

. 1.143).

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2320.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RDS

January 31, 2005

RIČKY D. SHAFER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2500

ART UNIT 2872